# **On Weakly** ̈**Closed Mappings and Weakly** ̈ **Homomorphism in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces**

#### **A. Gnanasoundari\***

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nallamuthu Gounder Mahalingam College, Pollachi – 642 001, Tamil Nadu, INDIA. email: [gnana12483@gmail.com](mailto:gnana12483@gmail.com)

(Received on: October 3, 2018)

## **ABSTRACT**

In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{q}$  closed mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{q}$  open mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  homomorphism and some of its properties.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54A40, 03F55.

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed sets, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  open sets, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}T_{1/2}$  space, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  continuous, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  open mapping, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  homomorphism.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

In 1965, Zadeh<sup>10</sup> introduced fuzzy sets and in 1968, Chang<sup>2</sup> introduced fuzzy topology. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov<sup>1</sup> as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 1997, Coker<sup>3</sup> introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce the notions of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  open mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  homomorphism and some of its properties.

## **2. PRELIMINARIES**

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau)$  or X denotes the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (IFTS in short). For a subset A of X, the closure, the interior and the complement of A are

denoted by  $cl(A)$ , int(A) and  $A<sup>c</sup>$  respectively. We recall some basic definitions that are used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1:** [1] Let X be a non-empty set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) A in X is an object having the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$  where the functions  $\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$ and  $v_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of nonmembership (namely  $v_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$  to the set A, respectively, and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x)$  $+ v_A(x) \le 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Denote by IFS(X), the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X.

**Definition 2.2**: [1] Let A and B be IFSs of the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / \chi \in X \}$  and  $B =$  $\{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle \}$   $x \in X$ . Then

(i) A  $\subseteq$  B if and only if  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

(ii)  $A = B$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ ,

 $(iii) A<sup>c</sup> = \{ \langle x, v_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \},$ 

 $(iv)$  A  $\cap$  B = {  $\langle x, \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X$  },

(v) A  $\bigcup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x) \rangle / x \in X \}.$ 

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation A = <x, µA, νA> instead of A = {<x, µA(x),  $v_A(x)$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\}$ .

The intuitionistic fuzzy sets  $0 = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}$  and  $1 = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}$  are respectively the empty and whole set of X.

**Definition 2.3:** [3] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology(IFT in short) on X is a family  $\tau$  of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

(i)  $0, 1, \in \tau$ ,

(ii)  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ , for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ ,

(iii)  $\cup G_i \in \tau$  for any family  $\{G_i / i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$ .

In this case the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space(IFTS in short) and any IFS in τ is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS in short) in X. The complement  $A<sup>c</sup>$  of an IFOS A in an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS in short) in X.

**Definition 2.4:** [3] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an IFTS and  $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$  be an IFS in X. Then 1. int(A) =  $\bigcup$  { G / G is an IFOS in X and G  $\subseteq$  A },

- 2. cl(A) =  $\cap$ { K / K is an IFCS in X and A  $\subseteq$  K },
- 3.  $cl(A<sup>c</sup>) = (int(A))<sup>c</sup>$ ,
- 4. int( $A<sup>c</sup>$ )=(cl(A))<sup>c</sup>.

**Definition 2.5: [4]** An IFS  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / \chi \in X \}$  in an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be an 1. intuitionistic fuzzy semi-open set (IFSOS in short) if  $A\subseteq cl(int(A))$ ,

2. intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set (IF $\alpha$ OS in short) if A⊆int(cl(int(A))),

3. intuitionistic fuzzy pre open set (IFPOS in short) if  $A\subseteq int(cl(A))$ .

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi-closed set(IFSCS in short), intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed set (IF $\alpha$ CS in short), intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed set (IFRCS in short) and intuitionistic fuzzy pre closed set (IFPCS in short) if the complement of A is an IFSOS, IF $\alpha$ OS, IFROS, IFPOS respectively.

**Definition 2.6: [7]** An IFS  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / \overline{x} \in X \}$  in an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi-generalized closed set (IFSGCS in short) if  $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever A  $\subseteq$ U and U is an IFSOS in X.

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized open set (IFSGOS in short) if the complement of A is an IFSGCS.

**Definition 2.7:**[9]An IFS A of an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$ closed set (IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in short) if cl(int(A)) ⊆U whenever A⊆ U and U is an IFSGOS in X. The set of all IFW $\ddot{g}$ CSs in X is denoted by IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS(X).

**Definition 2.8:** Let f be a mapping from an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  into an IFTS  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then f is said to be an

- 1. intuitionistic fuzzy continuous mapping (IF continuous mapping in short)<sup>4</sup> if  $f^{-1}(B) \in$ IFO(X) for every  $B \in \sigma$ ,
- 2. intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$  continuous mapping (IF  $\alpha$  continuous mapping in short)<sup>4</sup> if  $f^{-1}(B)$  $\in$  IF  $\alpha$  O(X) for every B  $\in \sigma$ ,
- 3. intuitionistic fuzzy pre continuous mapping (IFP continuous mapping in short)<sup>4</sup> if  $f^{-1}(B)$  $\in$  IFPO(X) for every  $B \in \sigma$ ,
- 4. intuitionistic fuzzy closed mapping (IF closed mapping in short)<sup>5</sup> if  $f(A)$  is an IFCS in Y for each IFCS A in X ,
- 5. intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$  closed mapping (IF  $\alpha$  closed mapping in short)<sup>8</sup> if f(A) is an IF  $\alpha$ CS in Y for each IFCS A in X ,
- 6. intuitionistic fuzzy pre closed mapping (IFP closed mapping in short)<sup>8</sup> if  $f(A)$  is an IFPCS in Y for each IFCS A in X .

**Definition 2.9:[6]**Let f be a bijection mapping from an IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  into an IFTS  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then f is said to be an

- 1. intuitionistic fuzzy homeomorphism (IF homeomorphism in short) if f and f-1 are IF continuous mappings,
- 2. intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$  homeomorphism (IF  $\alpha$  homeomorphism in short) if f and f<sup>-1</sup> are IF  $\alpha$ continuous mappings.

**Definition 2.10:** [9] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an IFTS A be an IFS in X. Then intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  interior and intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closure of A are defined as

1.  $\ddot{g}$ int(A) = ∪{ G / G is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OS in X and G  $\subseteq$  A },

2.  $\ddot{g}$ cl(A) = ∩{ K / K is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X and A  $\subseteq$  K }.

### **3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY**  $\ddot{q}$  **CONTINUOUS MAPPING**

In this section, we study the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{q}$  continuous mappings and investigate some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$ continuous (IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous in short) mapping if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in  $(X, \tau)$  for every IFCS V of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Every IF continuous mapping is an IF $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an IF continuous mapping and A be an IFCS in Y. Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFCS in X. Since every IFCS is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X. Hence f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping.

**Example 3.3:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $A = \langle x,(0.5,0.6),(0.5,0.4) \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle x,(0.6,0.6),$  $(0.4,0.4)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, A, 1, \}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, B, 1, \}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then IFS S=<x,(0.4,0.4),(0.6,0.6)> is an IFCS in Y and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS but not an IFCS in X. Therefore f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ continuous mapping but not an IF continuous mapping.

**Theorem 3.4:** Every IF pre continuous mapping is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an IF pre continuous mapping and A be an IFCS in Y. Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFPCS in X. Since every IFPCS is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{q}$  continuous mapping.

**Example 3.5:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $A = \langle x,(0.5,0.3),(0.5,0.7)\rangle$ ,  $B = \langle x,(0.1,0.6),$  $(0.9,0.3)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, A, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, B, 1\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then IFS S=<x,(0.9,0.3),(0.1,0.6)> is an IFCS in Y and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS but not an IFPCS in X. Therefore f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ continuous mapping but not an IF pre continuous mapping.

**Theorem 3.6:** Every IF  $\alpha$  continuous mapping is an IFW $\ddot{\alpha}$  continuous mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an IF  $\alpha$  continuous mapping and A be an IFCS in Y. Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IF  $\alpha$  CS in X. Since every IF  $\alpha$  CS is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{q}$  continuous mapping.

**Example 3.7:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $A = \langle x,(0.5,0.3),(0.5,0.7)\rangle$ ,  $B = \langle x,(0.6,0.8),$  $(0.4, 0.2)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, A, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, B, 1\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then IFS S=<x,(0.4,0.2),(0.6,0.8)> is an IFCS in Y and  $f^{-1}(S)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS but not an IF  $\alpha$  CS in X. Therefore f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping but not an IF  $\alpha$  continuous mapping.

**Theorem 3.8:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IFW g continuous mapping if and only if the inverse image of every IFOS in Y is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ OS in X.

**Proof:** Let A be an IFOS in Y. Then  $A^c$  is an IFCS in Y. Since f is an IFW g continuous mapping,  $f^{-1}(A^c)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X. Since  $f^{-1}(A^c) = (f^{-1}(A))^c$ ,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OS in X.

**Theorem 3.9:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping and g:  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is IF continuous, then gof:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous.

**Proof:** Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IFW g continuous and g:  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is IF continuous. Let A be an IFCS in Z. Then  $g^{-1}(A)$  is an IFCS in Y because g is IF continuous. Also  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X because f is IFW $\ddot{g}$ continuous. Therefore  $(gof)^{-1}(A)$  =  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in X. Hence gof is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ continuous mapping.

**Theorem 3.10:** Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping. Then the following conditions are hold:

1. f(W $\ddot{g}$ cl(A))⊆ cl(f(A)), for every IFS A in X, 2. W  $\ddot{g}$ cl $(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(B))$ , for every IFS B in Y.

**Proof:** 1. Let f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be IFW gcontinuous. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X. Then cl(f(A)) is an IFCS in Y. Since f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous,  $f^{-1}(cl(f(A)))$  is an IFCS in X. Also  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(A))$ . Thus  $W\ddot{g}cl(A) \subseteq W\ddot{g}cl(f^{-1}(cl(f(A)))) = f^{-1}(cl(f(A)))$ because  $f^{-1}(cl(f(A)))$  is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed. Hence  $f(W\ddot{g}cl(A)) \subseteq cl(f(A))$ for every IFS A in X.

2. Replacing A by  $f^{-1}(B)$  in 1, we have  $f(W\ddot{g}cl(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq cl(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq cl(B)$ . Hence  $W\ddot{g}cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(B)),$  for every IFS B in Y.

# **4. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY** ̈**CLOSED MAPPING AND INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY**  $\ddot{q}$  **OPEN MAPPING**

In this section, we study the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{q}$  closed mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{q}$  open mappings and investigate some of their properties.

**Definition 4.1:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed (IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed in short) mapping if f(V) is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in (Y,  $\sigma$ ) for every IFCS V of (X,  $\tau$ ).

**Example 4.2:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $G_1 = \langle x,(0.6,0.6),(0.4,0.4) \rangle$ ,  $G_2 = \langle x,(0.5,0.6),$  $(0.5,0.4)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0_0, G_1, 1_0\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0_0, G_2, 1_0\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Definition 4.3:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  open (IFW $\ddot{g}$  open in short) mapping if f(V) is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OS in (Y,  $\sigma$ ) for every IFOS V of (X,  $\tau$ ).

**Definition 4.4:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy i weakly  $\ddot{g}$  closed (IFiW $\ddot{g}$  closed in short) mapping if f(V) is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in  $(Y, \sigma)$  for every IF W $\ddot{g}$ CS V of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.5:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $G_1 = \langle x,(0.5,0.6),(0.5,0.4) \rangle$ ,  $G_2 = \langle x,(0.6,0.6),$  $(0.4,0.4)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0_0, G_1, 1_0\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0_0, G_2, 1_0\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFiW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Definition 4.6:** A mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy i weakly  $\ddot{g}$  open (IFiW $\ddot{g}$  open in short) mapping if f(V) is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OS in (Y,  $\sigma$ ) for every IF W $\ddot{g}$ OS V of (X,  $\tau$ ).

**Theorem 4.7:** Every IF closed mapping is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Assume that f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IF closed mapping. Let A be an IFCS in X. Then  $f(A)$  is an IFCS in Y. This implies that  $f(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Example 4.8:** In example 4.2, f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping but not an IFCM.

**Theorem 4.9:** Every IF $\alpha$  closed mapping is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Assume that f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IF $\alpha$  closed mapping. Let A be an IFCS in X. Then  $f(A)$  is an IF $\alpha$ CS in Y. This implies that  $f(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Example 4.10:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $G_1 = \langle x,(0.6,0.8),(0.4,0.2) \rangle$ ,  $G_2 = \langle x,(0.5,0.3),$  $(0.5,0.7)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0_0, G_1, 1_0\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0_0, G_2, 1_0\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Theorem 4.11:** Every IF pre closed mapping is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Assume that f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IF $\alpha$  closed mapping. Let A be an IFCS in X. Then  $f(A)$  is an IFPCS in Y. This implies that  $f(A)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Example 4.12:** Let X={a,b}, Y={u,v} and  $G_1 = \langle x, (0.1, 0.6), (0.9, 0.3) \rangle$ ,  $G_2 = \langle x, (0.5, 0.3),$  $(0.5,0.7)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, G_1, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, G_2, 1\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Theorem 4.13:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IFW g closed mapping and A is an IFCS of X, then  $f|A:A \rightarrow Y$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Proof:** Let  $B \subseteq A$  be an IFCS in A, then B is an IFCS in X, since A is an IFCS in X.  $f(B)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed set in Y as f is an IF W $\ddot{g}$ CM. But f(B)=(f|A)(B). So (f|A)(B) is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ closed set in Y. Therefore f|A is an IF $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Theorem 4.14:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IF closed mapping and g:  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ closed mapping, then gof:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Proof:** Let H be an IFCS in X. Then  $f(H)$  is an IFCS. But (g o  $f(H) = g(f(H))$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS as g is an IFW $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping. Thus g o f is an IFW $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping.

**Theorem 4.15:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a bijective mapping, then the following statements are equivalent

- 1. f is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ OM
- 2. f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CM
- 3.  $f^{-1}$ :  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous.

**Proof:** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let U be an IFCS in X and f be an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OM. Then X – U is an IFOS in X. By assumption, we get  $f(X-U)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ OS in Y. That is Y-f(X-U) = f (U) is IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y. (2)⇒(3): Let U be an IFCS in X. By assumption, f(U) is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ CS in Y. As f(U) =  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U)$ ,  $f^{-1}$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  continuous.

(3)⇒(1): Let U be an IFOS in X. By assumption f (U) =  $(f^{-1})^{-1}$ (U). That is, f(U) is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ OS in Y. Hence f is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ OM.

**Theorem 4.16:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and g:  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  are IFiW $\ddot{g}$  closed mappings, then gof:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is an IFiW $\ddot{g}$ CM.

**Proof:** Let V be an IFW $\ddot{q}$ CS in X. Since f is an IFiW $\ddot{q}$ closed mapping,  $f(V)$  is an IFW $\ddot{q}$ CS in Y. Then  $g(f(V))$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}CS$  in Z. Hence gof is an IFiW $\ddot{g}CM$ .

**Theorem 4.17:** If f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is an IF Wgclosed mapping and g:  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is an IFiW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping, then gof:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta)$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Proof:** Let V be an IFCS in X. Since f is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping,  $f(V)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$ CS in Y. Then  $g(f(V))$  is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CS in Z. Hence gof is an IFW $\ddot{g}$ CM.

#### **5. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAKLY**  $\ddot{g}$  **<b>HOMEOMORPHISM**

In this section, we study the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism and investigate some of their properties.

**Definition 5.1:** A bijection mapping f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy weakly  $\ddot{g}$ homeomorphism (IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism in short) if f is both an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping and IFW  $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

**Theorem 5.2:** Every IF homeomorphism is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be an IF homeomorphism. Then f is IF continuous and IF closed. Since every IF continuous function is IF W  $\ddot{g}$  continuous and every IF closed mapping is IFW  $\ddot{g}$  closed, f is IF W  $\ddot{g}$ continuous and IFW  $\ddot{g}$ closed. Hence f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$ homeomorphism.

**Example 5.3:** Let  $X=\{a,b\}$ ,  $Y=\{u,v\}$  and  $A=\langle x,(0.5,0.6),(0.5,0.4)\rangle$ ,  $B=\langle x,(0.4,0.4),(0.6,0.6)\rangle$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, \dots, A, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, B, 1\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow$  $(Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed homeomorphism but not an IF homeomorphism.

**Theorem 5.4:** Every IF  $\alpha$  homeomorphism is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism, but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be an IF  $\alpha$  homeomorphism. Then f is IF  $\alpha$  continuous and IF  $\alpha$ closed. Since every IF $\alpha$  continuous function is IF W *g* continuous and every IF  $\alpha$  closed mapping is IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed, f is IFW  $\ddot{q}$  continuous and IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed. Hence f is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$ homeomorphism.

**Example 5.5:** Let  $X = \{a,b\}$ ,  $Y = \{u,v\}$  and  $A = \langle x,(0.5,0.3),(0.5,0.7)\rangle$ ,  $B = \langle x,(0.4,0.2),$  $(0.6,0.8)$ . Then  $\tau = \{0, A, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0, B, 1\}$  are IFTs on X and Y respectively. Define f:  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by f(a)=u and f(b)=v. Then f is an IFW $\ddot{g}$  closed homeomorphism but not an IF homeomorphism.

**Theorem 5.6:** For any bijection mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  the following statements are equivalent

(i) The inverse map  $f^{-1}$ :  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous,

(ii) f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  open mapping,

(iii) f is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let V be an IFOS in X. Since  $f^{-1}$  is IFW *g* continuous, the inverse image of V under  $f^{-1}$ , namely  $f(V)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  OS in Y and so f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  open mapping.

(ii) ⇒ (iii). Let V be any IFCS in X. Then  $V^c$  is an IFOS in X. Since f is IFW *g* open,  $f(V^c)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  OS in Y. But  $f(V^c) = Y - f(V)$  and so  $f(V)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y. Therefore f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let V be any IFCS in X. Then the inverse image of V under  $f^{-1}$ , namely f(V) is IFW  $\ddot{g}$  CS in Y, since f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping.

**Theorem 5.7:** Let f : (X,  $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $\sigma$ ) be bijective mapping and IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  open mapping,

(ii) f is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism,

(iii) f is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed mapping.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Given f : (X,  $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $\sigma$ ) is bijective, IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous and IFW  $\ddot{g}$  open. Then by definition, f is and IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Given f : (X,  $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $\sigma$ ) is bijective and an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism. By above theorem f ia an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  closed mapping.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Given f : (X,  $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $\sigma$ ) is bijective and an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  closed. By above theorem, f is an IFW  $\ddot{q}$  open mapping.

**Theorem 5.8:** Let f :  $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an IFW  $\ddot{g}$  homeomorphism, then f is an IF homeomorphism if X and Y are IFW  $\ddot{g} T_{1/2}$  space.

**Proof:** Let B be an IFCS in Y. Then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$ CS in X, by hypothesis. Since X is an IFW $\ddot{g}T_{1/2}$ space,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is an IFCS in X. Hence f is an IF continuous mapping. By

hypothesis  $f^{-1}$ :  $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is IFW  $\ddot{g}$  continuous mapping. Let A be an IFCS in X. Then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(A) = f(A)$  is an IFW  $\ddot{g}$ CS in Y, by hypothesis. Since Y is an IFW $\ddot{g}T_{1/2}$ space,  $f(A)$  is an IFCS in Y. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is an IF continuous mapping. Hence the mapping f is an IF homeomorphism.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. K.T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20, 87-96 (1986).
- 2. C.L. Chang, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*., 24, 182-190 (1986).
- 3. D. Coker, An Introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88, 81-89 (1997).
- 4. H. Gurcay, D. Coker and Es. A. Haydar, On Fuzzy Continuity in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 5, 365-378 (1997).
- 5. Joung Kon Jeon, Young Bac Jun and Jin Han Park, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Alpha Continuity and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Pre Continuity, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 19, 3091-3101 (2005).
- 6. K. Sakthivel, Alpha Generalized Homeomorphism in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Space, Notes IFS 17(2011),30-36.
- 7. R. Santhi and K. Arun Prakash, On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Semi-generalized Closed Sets and its Applications, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 5, 1677-1688 (2010).
- 8. R. Santhi and K.Sakthivel, Alpha Generalized Closed Mappings in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, (43), 265-275 (2010).
- 9. M. Thirumalaiswamy, M. Amsaveni, On Weakly  $\ddot{g}$  Closed Set in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Space, National Seminar on Modern Techniques and Application in Mathematics (NSMTAM 2015).
- 10. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets , *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353 (1965).